

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE P/772-283 1229 01/24/2001 Per Zeuthen 09/768,733 09/04/2003 24998 7590 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP EXAMINER 2101 L STREET NW GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1764

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. Applicant(s) Examiner Art Unit Walter D. Griffin 1764

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 August 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed wit 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a second canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been cons application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or by explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	
	Welt_D.D.//
	Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) ž

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains that the Kelley reference does, in fact, disclose the claimed step of cooling the hydrotreated effluent. It is clear that Kelley discloses that the process can be operated without intervening cooling, condensation, or separation of ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. See column 4, lines 26-30. However, Kelley also discloses that an intervening treatment of the hydrofiner effluent can be performed to remove ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. See column 6, lines 25-36. One of ordinary skill in the art reading these two sections would realize that an intervening cooling step can be applied. Additionally, the disclosure that the hydrocracker can be operated at substantially reduced temperatures indicates that cooling can be performed.